

# Interview Summary

Application No.

09/226,107

Applicant(s)

WATANABE ET AL.

Examiner

Joseph R. Pokrzywa

Art Unit

2622

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Joseph R. Pokrzywa.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Randi Isaacs.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 24 March 2005.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: TAKANO

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

*the examiner agrees that*

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

*Discussed how Takano ~~differs~~ differs from the claimed invention. Particularly, Takano is unclear if the recording unit is exposed when the reading unit pivots. The examiner will reconsider the rejection upon filing arguments.*

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

*Joseph R. Pokrzywa 1/24/05*  
Examiner's signature, if required